Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/796,833	LEE, WOO-JIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MESEKER TAKELE	2174	

	MESEKER TAKELE	2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 21 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) No The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TW		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) is set fort in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (b) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) The application in the applica	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);			
appeal; and/or	ler form for appear by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1.		cted claims.			
			OTOL 204)		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.2.5-9 and 12-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary showing a file of the fi	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
/David A Wiley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2174					

Continuation of 3.

NOTE: Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims 1 and 8 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the following:

- (a) Edwards fails to disclose synthesis of a video signal of image data for each link number with a video signal of each corresponding object; and
- (b) Edwards also fails to disclose that the synthesized signals are output for display in the HTML document.

The Examiner disagrees for the following reasons.

Per (a), Edwards discloses synthesis of a video signal of image data for each link number with a video signal of each corresponding object (such as, a client device is provided which reads retrieved links and converts them wherever necessary by assigning an identifier which may in practice replace or supplement the link as it was originally authored, for display at the client device, abstract, paragraph [0014], [0018], [0019], [0027], [0028] and [0228]).

Per (b), Edwards discloses that the synthesized signals are output for display in the HTML document (paragraph [0027]).